ISSN : 2230-9519 (Online) | ISSN : 2231-2463 (Print)

Organizational Resources Planning

Dr. Nasser Fegh-hi Farahmand

Dept. of Industrial Management, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract

This paper reviews of Organizational Resources Planning (ORP) and the Resources Strategies & Plans (RSP) and performance measurement literature to develop a conceptual model and research propositions. In fact, organizations influence whether or not those organizations engage in resources strategies & plans. The Businessman Managers (BM) characteristics showing a significant association with a commitment to organizational resources planning showed a positive association with those businessman managers with a growth orientation. It is concluded that businessman manager's characteristics can be important in explaining the ORP within the organizations for implementation. This paper is to explore the ways in which certain characteristics of businessman managers of organizations generate a tendency to prepare formal written resources strategies & plans.

Keywords

Organizational Resources Planning, Businessman Managers, Resources Strategies & Plans, Resources Tactics

I. Introduction

The role of resources is to implement resources strategy. Effective Organizational Resources Planning (ORP) is one of the important factors in organizations success. There is Businessman Managers (BM) who argues that formal written planning may be inappropriate for the organizations but this seems a minority view. It can be argued that organizational resources planning is as important to organizations as to larger organizations and standard textbooks on entrepreneurship offer chapters on resources plans whilst a range of specialist publications outline the best ways of writing resources strategies & plans (Sahlman, 1997, 67; Monks, 2001, 41; Naffziger, et al, 1991, 21).

A fundamental proposition in resources strategy is that businessman managers must be aligned with customers and competitive advantage. Unfortunately, businessman managers performance measurement literature has provided ambiguous guidance to resources managers.

In organizations, where a resources strategies & plans exist, the preparation of the organizational resources planning may have been driven by external forces. The most obvious of these are the requirements of external agencies providing funding for either start up or expansion.

However, the resources strategies & plans may serve as a strategic planning document for the managers, entrepreneurs and educated workers, a plan to guide the resources and serve as a basis for taking strategic decisions and also it may serve as a subsequent monitoring device (Deakins, 2003, 329; Feghhi farahmand, 2005, 118). In view of its perceived ongoing resources utilization to the small business it might be expected that organizational resources planning would be a feature of many, if not most, organizations.

In order to achieve resources success, it is important to understand the relationship between organizational resources planning by businessman managers and strategy deployment success. As management itself becomes more emphatically fast-paced and intuitive, in order to deal with complexity and unpredictability, research is beginning to accumulate showing that coaching formats used in management support are more effective than training.

II. Resources Strategy (RS)

In normal strategy, the marketing strategy is directed toward the sales and revenue, whereas in Resources Strategy (RS) a direct appeal is made to the consumer for him to choose the final product with the branded component. One important aspect of this view that should be taken into consideration is that the marketing mix in resources strategy. The only factor that separates them is the distinction between consumer and manufacturer behavior. It is consumer behavior that creates the resources utilization strategy and manufacturer behavior that creates the normal strategy.

Consider the normal strategy and resources utilization strategy effects as effects of marketing mix decisions. Supporting resources utilization strategy with normal strategy increases the probability of coordination, whereas the combination of the normal strategy and resources utilization strategy strategies creates magnification for the complete marketing mix.

The supplier offers a component or service to his customer, namely the sales and revenue. As a result of this act, the supplier has entered into a synergy relationship with the producers of such products as automobiles and electronics.

The sales and revenue, on the other hand, makes a product that is to be used by his customer, who is the final user. When the final user buys the product or service from the sales and revenue, he associates himself with the sales and revenue in a pure synergy relationship. According to this principle, there exist two separate stages of customer relationship:

- The first stage between the supplier and the sales and revenue,
- The second between the sales and revenue and the final user.
- The resources utilization strategy, which contains the desired component, by the final customer takes place. This continuous process of normal strategy and resources utilization strategy will result in a high success rate if done appropriately.

The idea of resources strategy as a brand strategy has been around for quite some time in marketing. However, only in recent years has it gained greater prominence and importance.

To sum it all, it is component popularity among consumers that drives the demand for products and/or services that contain the branded ingredient. There has also been an argument that this demand then influences firms in the middle of the value chain to use these ingredients in their products or services.

As a consequence of this, resources strategy has been known to change the way that firms interact in the value chain. Much of the literature is inundated with studies that have investigated the functioning of resources strategy at the consumer level.

The positive effect of this branding strategy has been proved beyond doubt by several studies. There have been a lot of benefits for organizations and suppliers, who benefit through mutual cooperation, endorsement of each other's offerings, shared knowledge and capabilities, risk sharing, trust and shared experience.

An added advantage for component suppliers is the reduction of the probability of entry of competitors. On the other hand, organizations enjoy a jointly enhanced market reputation.

The resources suppliers, in return for the reduced probability of entry of competitors, reward organizations with a lower price.

This induces the resources suppliers to lower costs through a stable, long-term customer and through economies of scale.
The cost of the branded synergy offering is also potentially lower because double marginalization is eliminated, which means lower prices for the customer.
Furthermore, resources strategy has other added advantages such as maximizing the utilization of an organization's brand assets, generating new revenues, entering new markets, creating entry barriers for competitors, sharing costs and risks, increasing profit margins and widening current markets.
The resources strategy as a resource is discussed in the following text. The resources strategy is viewed as the strategic valuable part of this strategy. To understand this better, knowledge of the different attributes of the resources strategy are required such as valuable, unique, not respectively heavily imitable and not substitutable. Because there is no sustainable benefit from the resource alone, if not appropriate managed, the understanding of the brand management as the core competence has to be taken into consideration.

III. Resources Tactics (RT)

The Resources Tactics (RT) core competencies are a specific peculiarity of strategic resources. At this, the build-up of ambitious/challenging/sophisticated operation schemes takes center stage.
Operation schemes can be best described as the competence that would transform occasional successful resource selections and conjunctions into access-secure operation schemes.
The core competencies approach devotes itself to the operation schemes. An essential demand to core competencies is to enable the organization to react quickly to alternating requirements.
From a statistical perspective, core competencies are composed of resources, whereas organizational competencies are coordinated by organizational routines.
In addition to the analysis of strategic resources, the core competencies approach also lays particular stress on the procedural, organizational and integrative aspects of generating and maintaining business units overarching competitive advantages. The core competencies approach analyses intra-corporate sources similar to the resources tactics. The close connection that exists between the core competencies approach and the resources tactics is manifested by the fact that core competencies also determine the survivability of a company in the competition in the long run.
As a consequence, core competencies finally differ from other competencies only with respect to their long-term strategic relevance. The permanent realization of advantages, which arises from the respective resources and hence reflects the strategic relevance of the resources, is assumed to be the sine qua non of the core competencies approach.
Therefore, core competencies can also be traced to distinct single resources.
There are four criteria based on which a decision can be made on whether a competence can be reckoned as strategic valuable hence as a core competence; they are as follows:

- Resources utilization diversity,
- Customer benefit,
- Resources differentiation potential,
- Resources future potential.

According to these criteria, competencies which through a differentiated application flow into different products and services, thus contributing to added value, have to be reckoned as core competencies. According to, it is the transferability of the competence which constitutes the essential character of the core competence conception. There are three orders of competence, namely the competitiveness of competencies, which is the first order; the chance of a company to achieve competitive advantages, which is the second order; and the transferability of existing products into other product-market-combinations, which is the third order and which can be considered as a core competence. Customer benefit refers to the potential of generating a resulting benefit advantage which is appreciated by the customer in financial terms too.
Therefore, it becomes mandatory for core competencies to be applicable over the market. Furthermore, the demand for differentiation potential requires that core competencies enable companies to develop action alternatives, respectively organizational approaches, which are not possessed by their competitors. On the basis of the core competence, efforts and processes have to be implemented to work in a more efficient, effective and faster manner.
In order to be considered as core competencies, it is imperative that competencies remain expandable. The future potential should be more sophisticated for the generation of future markets.
The core competence of branding of resources tactics focuses on a labeling ability of a component. Not all components are suited for resources tactics. The central point to consider would be the noticeable influence of the component on the overall performance of the end product.
In addition, the physical possibility of labeling states a central requirement. Operation schemes can then be developed constitutively. The value of these corporate routines rests in their diversity. Rresources utilization tactics diversity refers to the ability to merchandise one component together with a final good producer not only across market segments but also within the subjacent principle.
Rresources utilization tactics diversity describes the conception of utilization tactics as a potential strategy for various end product types. The positive association of the utilization tactics is brought into the relationship, both to new as well as other final goods.
Creating a utilization tactics image brings along exactly these premises and states the successful central facet of the management of a successful utilization tactics. Being able to guarantee exclusivity, similar to the resource in the narrow sense, the ability of imitation has to be excluded as well. This will be accomplished by the demand on core competencies to show social complexity, dependency of organizational-specific development paths, intangibility and non-visibility as well as preferably deep anchorage in corporate structures.
An assumption that needs to be made here is that in the case of utilization tactics usually manifest for competitors only if transaction-specific investments were performed. Component utilization tactics appearing on markets have to be perceived as a symptom of the strategic bias.
The utilization tactics itself can be reconstructed only approximately. It becomes visible only in its actions. However, its intentional ambition stays unobservable. The benefit enjoyed by a customer of utilization tactics arises from the chance to differentiate single achievements from the constitution of associations. Because of the learning effects of the usage of products, there is a risk mitigation of the buying decision that is associated with this.
Thus, even utilization tactics add to the orientation in the buying process. In doing so, it has to be borne in mind that utilization tactics has at least two reference partners. Within a successfully implemented utilization tactics, these end-customer effects affect net income even for the organization. Utilization tactics is therefore

ISSN : 2230-9519 (Online) | ISSN : 2231-2463 (Print)

affiliated to a clear benefit for the customer. The company that applies the utilization tactics further has the chance to differentiate from its competitors.

This differentiation deduces from the mere opportunity to/of recognition in the end product. Its benefit develops by the pull impact as a support of the own marketing achievement. The benefit is advanced and is aimed at the future.

Positioning brands in the customer awareness entrance barriers for potential competitors are built, which prospectively result in the generation of monopoly profits. Thus, utilization tactics is able to accomplish the required attributes which are generally made on core competencies.

The ability to create brand management of utilization tactics is strategically valuable respectively and can be reckoned as a core competence only if it is guaranteed that competitors cannot adopt this ability readily.

Therefore, it is imperative that the core competences have to be unique. In general, the hierarchical, market-related and network or cooperative form of organization are adapted for procurement of competencies.

In particular, the market-related procurement of competencies does not play a major role in utilization tactics. This is because only a transfer of unspecific utilization tactics is possible as steering, control and incentive mechanisms are taken into account.

Accumulation, transformation and combination effort has to be undertaken entirely intra-corporate, respectively corporate-specific, because they allow total competitive advantages.

Therefore, the hierarchical procurement remains as build-up of intra-corporate resource processes of unique competencies.

IV. Resources & Strategic Plan (RSP)

The extent to which each determinant of performance impacts firm performance is a function of the performance metrics. Further, define performance as the sum of all processes that will lead managers to taking appropriate actions in the present that will create a performing organization in the future or in other words, doing today what will lead to measured resources utilization outcomes.

Businessman managers like all scientific enterprises, a period of accumulation of evidence will be required before definitive conclusions may be drawn (Brown, et al, 1998, 88; Deakin, et al 2003, 64; Mason, et al, 2004, 3).

However, there are early gleanings that evidence based evaluation research is underway. For this reason by coupling resources utilization with customer service recovering satisfaction a few tactical actions for implementation can make the challenge simpler and provide leadership.

Creative resources building is found in knowledge-based industries, which span many sectors finance, technology, media and learning. Central to success as knowledge creators is the culturing of independent individuals, organizational members able to re-invent businesses as required.

Any how resources strategies & plans search few question such as:

1. How do resources affect customer resources utilization?
2. How strategic planning is carried out at different levels of the organization?
3. What does a resources plan include?

Of course, all the studies use the same general resources utilization method that definitions for types of resources utilization studies and resources can be viewed are (Gifford, 1997, 58; Johnson, 2001, 58; McGovern, et al, 2001; Feghhi farahmand, 2009, 38; Henningsen, 2002, 35):

1. Resources utilization defining processes: market research & self-analysis.
2. Resources utilization developing processes: new product development, sourcing strategy.
3. Resources utilization delivering processes: advertising & managing distribution.

Therefore organizational capability relies in particular on coaching management skills, which rely on emotional intelligence and emphasis one-to-one, dialoguing, subordinate empowerment and mutually agreed targeting.

V. Resources & Tactics Plan (RTP)

These definitions allow reviewers of a study to quickly understand its scope and limits. To ensure the opportunity to achieve the highest resources utilization, resources utilization program staff attempt to keep management or administration directed mission charges flexible enough to allow innovation.

Resources & Tactics Plan (RTP) actions steps for coupling resources utilization with customers or service receivers including three Qs to resources: Resources utilization segment, Resources utilization proposition, and resources utilization network recovering satisfaction are as follows:

1. Businessman managers support: An organization's total resources utilization efforts must begin at the very top and begin with the board of directors.
2. Resources plan: An resources plan based on the survey feedback should then be formulated by the top management and communicated at every board meeting.
3. Resources utilization vision: Develop a vision the organization does not have one already. The key to the initial adoption of resources utilization is continuous communication of the vision within a comprehensive communication plan.
4. Resources utilization improvements: Senior managers need coaching as the new theorists in coaching argue; coaching empowers individuals to achieve their inherent potential.
5. Resources utilization circles: Employees, shareholders and customers, suppliers and competitors have a stake and essential ingredient for success is senior resources utilization circles, which provides leadership in resources utilization and stimulates cultural change.
6. Resources utilization responsibility: The responsibilities accept of a senior resources utilization committee can include (Feghhi farahmand, 2004, 398): establishing strategic resources utilization goals, allocating resources, sanctioning resources utilization improvement teams, reviewing key indicators of resources utilization, estimating the cost of poor resources utilization, ensuring adequate training of employees and recognizing and rewarding individual and team efforts.
7. Resources utilization implementation: This survey should be sponsored by the top management to send a clear message throughout the organization that resources utilization is linked to customer satisfaction and the senior executives should then present the results to all employees that detailed strategies for improving customer satisfaction can be devised and communicated.

For this reason, there is a need to re-track fundamental management systems. Such concepts as investment valuation, ethical trading, stakeholder consultation, corporate social responsibility, resources utilization investment, preoccupy institutional investor communities.

Anyhow is the need to develop a means to inform administrative or procurement staff of the available resources and how to obtain assistance. Therefore core product or service resources utilization and customer benefits return as key drivers (Curran, et al, 1994; Feghhi farahmand, 2005, 58).
Organizations have over decades developed knowledge reserves and professional cORPetencies as the resources utilization Chain as in Table 1.

Table 1: Organizational activities categories and the resources utilization chain

Organizational ssupport aactivities	Infra Structure (IF)				
	Human Resources Management and Development (HRMD)				
	Technology Development (TD)				
	Organizational Resources Procurement (ORP)				
Organizational primary aactivities	Input Aactivities	Process Aactivities	Output Aactivities	Resources Aactivities	Services Aactivities

In any case, the level of uncertainty is continuing to increase even as consumer prosperity overlaps into the new century, reacting against the undoubted brilliance of the recent industrial era. However, the mainly qualitative evidence available to date suggests that organizational resources planning within organizations are an activity of a minority (Bolton, et al, 2000, 88; Feghhi farahmand, 2002, 254).
There may be a number of reasons for the lack of organizational resources planning. Historically the typical businessman managers has tended not to have pursued higher levels of education level or to take formal Resources training. Hence there are two possible reasons why businessman managers tend not to plan (Chell, 1991, et al, 167; Barkham, et al, 1996, 27) that they are emotionally unsuited to it. They think and act intuitively and they are simply unaware of the various tools which would enable them to plan systematically.
A further constraint, likely to restrict organizational resources planning by businessman managers is that they may not have sufficient financial information to prepare a formal plan.
A lack of formal planning may also relate to the fact that small organizations are just too busy surviving to take time out to plan ahead whilst others might argue the environment (Ledoux, 1993, 215; Ledoux, 1994, 15). A lack of formal organizational resources planning among organizations does not necessarily mean that organization is badly managed. It does, however, suggest that businessman managers miss out on the opportunity to consider the overall direction of the Resources and management decisions may be made on the basis of poor information (Curran, et al, 1994, 39; Feghhi farahmand, 2009, 102; Stutely, 2002, 43; Watts & et al, 2003, p 197).
The characteristics of the organization and businessman managers and also organizations strategies hereafter termed resources strategy, influencing businessman manager's behavior which might be used to inform analysis of the determinants of organizational resources planning in organizations.

VI. Businessman Managers (BM)

A selection of the Businessman Managers (BM) characteristics is the potential to influence an owner manager's propensity to undertake organizational resources planning. The nature of the businessman managers is seen as critical in other aspects (Nayak et al, 1994, 425; Fisher, 2002, 89) of the activities of organizations. Predictions of the direction in which the variables (Feghhi Farahmand, 2002, 345; Smith, 1967, 145) will operate are inevitably problematic as there is little prior work on the determinants of organizational resources planning upon which we can draw (Smith, 1967, 25; Curran, et al 1994; Feghhi farahmand, 2005, 37; Kuratko & et al, 2004, 64):

1. Resources utilization potential: This was introduced into the analysis as it might be expected that local businessman managers, who grew up in the geographical area under study, will tend to be introspective and less receptive to contemporary management practice. This variable has been identified as important in a number of studies. The relationships between organizations and their localities have become an important research area and organization with links with local resources institutions might be more likely to resources plan. The argument here would be that mixing with local resources leaders would increase awareness of the resources utilization of organizational resources planning.
2. Resources utilization experience: It may be strongly linked to ability and it could be argued that it might work in two ways. A long number of years running an organization as an businessman managers might increase a propensity to plan future directions for the resources or indeed, once the initial phases had passed and funding secured planning might well be less of a priority.
3. Resources utilization experts: In the context of organizational resources planning, this variable might seem reasonable to hypothesis that the more highly educated businessman managers will tend to be more aware of the desirability of organizational resources planning and thus, organization run by the better educated businessman managers might be more likely to have Resources plans.
4. Resources utilization innovation: A distinction here may be drawn between those for whom the current organization is their first and serial founders.
5. Resources utilization commitment workers: This was identified as an influence on organization behavior and in the context of organizational resources planning, businessman managers with previous work experience in larger organization, perhaps where organizational resources planning was seen as an important part of Resources behavior, would tend to encourage organizational resources planning in organization.
6. Resources utilization organizing: Organization founders are drawn either from operatives or from those with previous managerial experience.
7. Resources utilization strategy: Here it might be argued that businessman managers moving into a new sector might be encouraged to plan rather more than those whose businesses were in sectors in which they had considerable prior experience.

VII. Methodology

This study is based on a sample of small organization and the influence of organization characteristics such as organizational resources planning of organization have been well explored over the last decades. Virtually the interviews with the businessman managers the other interviews were experimental managers that they have not scientific management information and were the only viable respondent as they were working for retired managers who had relinquished overall control of the organization but maintained a financial link and in other cases, they worked closely with the managers who were still involved in the business. These businessman managers could answer the key questions about the environmental and strategic variables in which interested

 ISSN : 2230-9519 (Online) | ISSN : 2231-2463 (Print)

and thus the use of a small number of senior managers is not as problematic as it would have been if interested in the psychological and personality characteristics of the businessman. The interview schedule was designed to collect data on a number of businessman managers and strategic characteristics in addition to asking about the presence or absence of an organizational resources planning and, where appropriate, the time period to which the plan applied. Interviews were conducted face to face directly within the workplace, training and consultant sessions or indirectly by e-mail or using structured questionnaire.
Businessman managers related characteristics were also included to check for the presence of any uncontrolled organization variables.

VIII. Results

In response to this research gap, this paper investigates whether businessman managers should differ according to Resources strategy. Businessman managers were asked whether or not they had a formal organizational resources planning for their organization and the period of time to which it applied. In order to understand whether the businessman managers is performing or not, we need to ensure that the businessman managers is appropriate for each resources strategy. This study informs the Resources manager that Resources strategy should be the primary determinant of an organization's organizational resources planning and businessman manager's framework.
It guides the manager in a way that avoids the organizational resources planning and businessman managers which results in sub-optimization of the performance measurement portfolio. Also this study provides resources managers with specific benefits such as:

1. Explicit measures to facilitate internal communication and aid coordination of the multiple stakeholders involved in organizational resources planning and businessman managers for example sales operations, external partners, etc.
2. A strategically aligned framework for clearer logic behind actions. More appropriate organizational resources planning and businessman managers should result in less internal conflict.
3. A performance portfolio that discriminates between performance measures in order to avoid suboptimal performance. Organizational resources planning have needs to clarity for determining the difference between efficient vs effective performance measures.
4. A framework that will provide organizational resources planning and businessman managers guidance.
5. Improving one performance measure can adversely affect other performance measures where a comprehensive framework is not used.
6. A set of guidelines to ensure organizational resources planning and businessman manager's synergies are achieved in the targeting of high and low customer lifetime resources utilization segments.
7. The integration between market segmentation strategy and organizational resources planning and businessman managers should be enhanced.

Anyhow, there were striking variations in resources strategies. Clearly, within this group, there is a sub set of growth oriented businessman managers whose propensity to undertake organizational resources planning might be contrasted with those who were content with their current level of resources.
The latter may well belong to that group of businessman managers often characterized as running lifestyle organization. From this overview of the selected businessman manager's characteristics and the strategies of the sampled organization, it is now possible to explore the extent to which these differing characteristics and strategies influence whether or not an organization engages in organizational resources planning.
The main focus is on the role of businessman manager's characteristics in influencing the propensity for organizational resources planning.

IX. Conclusions

The interdisciplinary conceptual model will provide guidance to resources managers in developing contextually relevant organizational resources planning measures. For resources managers, the organizational resources planning performance measurement is an area that represents a significant opportunity for business investment and management attention. It is important to stress that this study is confined to a sample of the businessman managers of organizations in one part of the area of market potential.
Further, the characteristics which have been measured can be grouped into environmental and businessman managers variables rather than those variables which measure attributes of the personality of the businessman managers. It is also recognized that the relationships only significant at a relatively low level but this reflects, in part, the small size of our initial sample.
Therefore useful conclusions can be drawn as follows:

1. Organizational resources planning is a characteristic of the organizations that there still remains a high proportion of businessman managers of organizations who does not undertake organizational resources planning.
2. Businessman manager's characteristics and resources utilization variables can be an influence upon whether or not small organizations undertakes organizational resources planning when controls have been introduced for sector and size.
3. The key businessman managers characteristics, associated with a greater tendency to undertake organizational resources planning, are a higher level of education level, experience and running resources.
4. There was no evidence that previous management experience was linked to a higher propensity to resources plan. That businessman manager with management experience is somewhat cynical of the resources utilization of paper exercises and the writing of resources plans.
5. Although this is a study of organizations in one zone, this paper has demonstrated that businessman manager's characteristics cannot be ignored in trying to understand the extent to which BIO display a commitment to organizational resources planning.
6. Success is most likely to come from approaches to those businessman managers with the characteristics of planners but who are not yet planners. These are the businessman managers who may be unaware of the benefits of organizational resources planning rather than outwardly hostile. g) Businessman manager's characteristics are rarely in the public domain so such targeting becomes difficult.
7. Analysis of the strategic characteristics of businessman managers identified a set of variables.
8. Gaining the sustained co-operation of fellow team members requires emotional leadership. Where such leadership is available, much forgiveness is afforded.

9. Performance innovation in a manager links to conceptual innovation because the corporation's key competence, its resources and concept innovation capability index, is the key to success in a knowledge driven economy.
10. Where creative responses of many kinds are required, managers will prove to be at the heart of management excellence, which empower their colleagues and clients to expand their organizations performance and utilize a higher proportion of the organizations potential.

References

[1] Barkham, R, Gudgin, G.,"The Determinants of small Organization Growth, London", Jessica Kingsley, pp. 21-54, 1996.

[2] Bolton, B, ThORPson J,"Entrepreneurs, Talent, Temperament and Technique", London, Butterworth Heinemann, pp. 65-97, 2000.

[3] Bridge, S. O'Neill, K, Cromie S,"Understanding Enterprise, Entrepreneurship & small Business", London, Macmillan Business, pp. 124, 1998.

[4] Brown, S., Eisenhardt, K.,"CORPeting on the Edge", Harvard Business School Press, pp. 68-91, 1998.

[5] Burns, P.,"Entrepreneurship and small Business", Basingstoke, Palgrave, pp. 34-74, 2001.

[6] C. Linder and S. Seidenstricker (2010),"The Strategic Meaning of Ingredient Brands", [Online] Available: http://scialert.net/fulltext/?doi=ajm.2010.1.16&org=10

[7] Chell, E.,"The Entrepreneurial Personality: A Few Ghosts Laid To Rest", International small Business Journal, pp 3-38, 1985.

[8] Chell, E.,"Entrepreneurship: Globalization", Innovation and Development, London, Thomson Learning, pp. 67, 2001.

[9] Chell, E. Haworth, J., Brearley, S. A.,"The Entrepreneurial Personality", London, Rutledge, pp. 167, 1991.

[10] Curran, J., Blackburn, R.,"Business planning and Local Economic Networks", London, Paul Chapman, pp. 31-49, 1994.

[11] Deakin, D., Freel, M.,"Entrepreneurship and Business planning", London, McGraw Hill, pp. 302-412, 2003.

[12] Feghhi Farahmand, Nasser,"Executive Management Process", Islamic Azad University, Tabriz Branch, Iran, pp. 21-313, 2001.

[13] Feghhi Farahmand, Nasser,"Permanent Management of Organization", First edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran, pp. 15-310, 2003.

[14] Feghhi Farahmand, Nasser,"Strategic Structure of Organization Management Process", Forth edition, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz Branch, Iran, pp 90-327, 2003.

[15] Feghhi Farahmand, Nasser,"Strategic Management of Organization", First edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran, pp 19-214, 2005.

[16] Feghhi Farahmand, Nasser,"Organization Strategic Plan compilation", First edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran, pp. 41-195, 2009.

[17] Feghhi farahmand, Nasser,"Active and Dynamic Management of Organization", Second edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran, pp. 82-314, 2011.

[18] Feghhi Farahmand, Nasser,"Technology Management of Organization", Second edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran, pp. 9-407, 2011a.

[19] Fisher, A.,"Annie weighs in on executive coaching and untruths on resumes", Fortune Magazine, 13 May, o 89, 2002.

[20] Gifford, D,"The resources utilization of going green", Harvard Business Review, 75/5, 1997.

[21] Goleman, D,"Emotional Intelligence, London, Bloomsbury, pp. 58, 1996.

[22] Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., McKee, A.,"The New Leaders", London, Little, Brown, pp. 78-199, 2002.

[23] Hamel, G.,"Leading the Revolution", Boston, Harvard Business School Press, pp. 89, 2001.

[24] Henningsen, C,"Investing as if the world really mattered", Corporate Environmental Strategy, 9 /2, pp. 22-39, 2002.

[25] Johnson, Steven,"The connected lives of ants", brains, cities and software, Penguin, pp. 44-63, 2001.

[26] Kirby, D. A.,"Entrepreneurship", Maidenhead, McGraw Hill, pp. 82, 2003.

[27] Kuratko D F, Hodgetts R M,"Entrepreneurship: Theory", Practice Mason, Ohio, Thomson South Western, pp. 64, 2004.

[28] Ledoux, JE,"Emotional memory systems in the brain", Behavior and Brain Research, Vol. 58, pp. 112-215, 1993.

[29] Ledoux, JE,"Emotional memory and the brain", Scientific American, Vol. 108, pp. 15, 1994.

[30] Mason, C and Stark, M.,"What do investors look for Business Plan, International small Business Journal, 22, pp. 3, 2004.

[31] McGovern, J., Lindemann, M., Vergara, M., Murphy, S, Barker, MA, Warrenfeltz, R.,(2001),"Maximizing the impact of executive coaching: Behavioral change, organisational outcomes, and return on investment", [Online] Available: http://www.mentors.ca/coachingnews.html.

[32] Minnow, N,"Downsizing corporate responsibility", Public Relations Strategy 2, Vol. 3, pp. 5, 1996.

[33] Mintzberg, H.,"The rise and fall of Strategic Planning", Prentice Hall International, pp. 81-109, 1994.

[34] Mintzberg, H., Alstrand, B., Lample, J.,"Strategy Safari", The Free Press, pp. 23-67, 1998.

[35] Monks, R.,"The New Global Investor", London, Capstone, pp 24-49, 2001.

[36] Naffziger, D. Kuratko, D.,"An Investigation into the Planning In small Business", Journal of Business and Entrepreneurship, Fall, pp. 21, 1991.

[37] Nayak, A, Greenfield, S.,"The Use Of Management Accounting Information", Finance and the BIO, London, Rutledge, pp. 47, 1994.

[38] North, J., Blackburn, R, Curran, J.,"Reaching small Business and Enterprising Futures", London, Paul Chapman, 1997.

[39] Olivero, G., Bane, K.D., Kopelman, RE,"Executive coaching as a transfer of training tool: Effects on productivity in a public agency", Public Personnel Management, 26, 4, pp. 68, 1997.

[40] Peer Sources Website, [Online] Available: http://www.mentors.ca/coachingnews.html.

[41] Perry, S.C,"BP and the Failure of small Businesses in the US", Journal of small Businessmanagement, 39, pp. 66, 2001.

[42] Peters, T.,"Thriving on Chaos", Book Club Associates, pp. 160, 1988.

[43] Rue, L., Ibrahim, N.,"Planning Sophistication and Performance in small Businesses", Journal of small Businessmanagement, 36, pp. 97, 1998.

 ISSN : 2230-9519 (Online) | ISSN : 2231-2463 (Print)

[44] Sahlman, W. A.,"How to write a great Business Plan", Harvard Business Review, July-August, pp. 61- 98, 1997.

[45] Schwenk, C. R., Shrader, C. B.,"Effects Of Formal Strategic Planning, Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice", pp. 17, 1993.

[46] Senge, P.,"The Fifth Discipline", New York, Currency Doubleday, pp. 196, 1990.

[47] Smith, N. R.,"The Entrepreneur and His Organization", University of Michigan: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, pp. 112-184, 1967.

[48] Stacey, R. D.,"Strategic Management and Organisational Dynamics", Prentice Hall, pp. 23-42, 2000.

[49] Steele, R. S.,"Power motivation, activation and inspirational speeches", Journal of Personality, 45, pp. 28-68, 1977.

[50] Storey, D. J. (1994),"Understanding the small Business Sector", London, Rutledge, pp. 55.

[51] Storey, D. J., Watson, R., Wynarczyk, P.,"Case Studies of 40 BP", Research Paper 67, London, Department of Employment, pp. 97, 1989.

[52] Stutely, R.,"The Definitive Business Plan", London, Financial Times, Prentice Hall, pp. 43, 2002.

[53] Watts, H. D., Wood, A. M., Wardle. P.,"Making friends or making things?", Urban Studies, pp. 164-204, 2003.

Dr. Nasser Fegh-hi Farahmand from Department of Industrial Management, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran has B.Sc & M.Sc degrees in industrial management with business specialize and PhD degree in industrial management with operation & production specialize from Research & Science Campus of Islamic Azad University Tehran Branch.

He is associate professor and scientific member of management & economic of Faculty of Islamic Azad University- Tabriz Branch with 160 papers at management journals and 10 management books in Persian language.